

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,614	08/28/2003		Stephen P. Craig	21591	5281
5	7590 05/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul M. Craig 207 Quaint Ac		e	ALIMENTI, SUSAN C		
Silver Spring, MD 20904				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Susan C. Alime The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reflection. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ver sh et with the correspondence add XPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM owever, may a reply be timely filed	,					
Susan C. Alimo The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reply in the mailing date of the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	enti 3644 ver sh et with the correspondence add XPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM owever, may a reply be timely filed	Iress					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the covered for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reflection. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ver sh et with the correspondence add XPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM owever, may a reply be timely filed	lress					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory resolved in NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	XPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	Iress					
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory reality NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expiration. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	owever, may a reply be timely filed						
	ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2003.							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-fi	inal.						
,—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from conside 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8, 10, 13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 9, 11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requires							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be he Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the specific sheet is the specific sheet of the specific sheet in the specific	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received as Copies of the priority documents have been received as Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this National S .2(a)).	Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	.152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/649,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because they are informal in nature. All black background spots should be removed, and all reference characters should be typed since many present in the drawing are illegible. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show how element 15 is incorporated into the structure as viewed in Figure 1, as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 recites the limitation "said last-mentioned end" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1-3 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glassman (US 3,766,667).

Glassman discloses the claimed invention except it is not positively disclosed what type of material it is made out of. Glassman's device comprises a plurality of slat-like members, i.e. B-6, having therein a plurality of holes 30. Pegs 12 are inserted into said holes 30 where desired by the user. Regarding claims 1, and 13-14, member B-6 has 6 holes wherein three pegs could be inserted into 3 of said holes, while the symmetrically opposite holes are left void.

Regarding claims 3-5, 7-8, 10 when Glassman's device is oriented as seen in Figure 8, the moisture retaining means is defined as the indentation created by the combination of hole 30 and peg 12. Regarding claims 4, 8 and 10, said indentation is in the form of a depression, slanted downward and extends over at least *a substantial part* of the length of said slat-like member. Similarly regarding claims 5 and 7 the depression is symmetrical and curvilinear in shape.

Regarding the claim that the device is made of plastic through injection molding,

Glassman is non-specific as to the material in which his device is made from. It would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make

Glassman's device out of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker

Art Unit: 3644

in the art to select a known material on the basis of it's suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

7. Regarding claim 6, Glassman discloses the claimed invention except the moisture retaining means is not triangular in shape. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the shape of pegs 12 and holes 30, since it has been held that there is no invention in merely changing the shape or formof an article without changing its function except in a design patent. Eskimo Pie Corp. V. Levous et al., 3 USPQ 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 9, and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 703-306-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA

Charles T. Jordan

CHARLES T. JORDAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600